## **ERS Peer Review Plan**

Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	Economic Outcomes of Soil Health and Conservation Practices ERR					
(ERR, EIB, EB)			[X]	Influenti	al Scientific	Information
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	search Service	[]	Highly I	nfluential Sci	entific Assessment
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov					
Subject of Review:	Soil health and conservation practices have the potential to provide important ecosystem services while also improving the profitability of crop and livestock production. However, there are often costs associated with implementing such practices, and environmental impacts and economic outcomes are not universal or always known to producers or researchers. This report summarizes what is known about the use of key soil health and conservation practices (conservation tillage, cover crops, and nutrient management) on cropland in the U.S. and their economic impacts. Specifically, this report: (1) describes linkages between soil health management, producer decision making, and economic outcomes; (2) provides updated estimates of the rates of adoption of key soil health and conservation practices on cropland; (3) summarizes the literature on the economic impacts of soil health and conservation practices; and (4) uses Census of Agriculture and Agricultural Resource Management Survey data to estimate the impacts of a subset of conservation practices on field-level yields and input costs, and farm-level productivity and technical efficiency.					
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.					
Type of Review:		[ ] Pan	el Review		[X]	Individual Reviewers
		[ ] Alte	ernative Pr	rocess (Br	iefly Explain	):
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start:	11/22/202	22		Co	mpleted:
Number of Reviewers	: []	3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[ ]	More than 10
Primary Disciplines/T	Types of Experti	se Needed for	Review:	Econor	nists	
Reviewers selected by	v: [X]	Agency			[ ] Design Organi	ated Outside zation
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly sta How: When:		en these oppor		Yes fill be pro	[X] vided:	No
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?				Yes	[X]	No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?				Yes	[X]	No