ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	The Financial-Climate Risk of the Pasture, Rangleland											
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EB											
								nformation				
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	search Se	rvice	[]	Highly 1	Influe	ntial Scie	entific Asse	essment			
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov											
Subject of Review:	The Federal Crop Insurance Program (FCIP) is a key component of the safety net offered by the Federal Government, helping agricultural producers mitigate financial losses due to adverse weather, natural disasters, or price fluctuations (Tsiboe & Turner, 2023; Turner et al., 2023). See Box: FCIP. Operated as a public-private partnership between the Federal Government and private insurance companies known as Approved Insurance Providers (AIPs), FCIP is administered by the USDA, Risk Management Agency (RMA), while policies are sold and serviced by AIPs. The Government also supports FCIP by subsidizing both a portion of producer policy premiums and AIP administrative and operating costs. Crop insurance premium subsidies represent the largest budgetary outlay among Farm Bill producer support programs. In fiscal year 2022, subsidies for crop insurance policies accounted for nearly one-third of total government budgetary expenditures for producer support—far surpassing expenditures on programs offered through Title I of the Farm Bill, including Agriculture Risk Coverage (ARC) and Price Loss Coverage (PLC) (OECD, 2023).											
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.											
Type of Review:		[]	Panel Ro	eview			[X]	Individua	l Reviewers			
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):												
Timing of Review (Est	t.): Start:	5/29	9/2024				Con	mpleted:	9/04/2024			
Number of Reviewers:	[]	3 or fewer		[X]	4 to 10		[]	More than	n 10			
Primary Disciplines/Ty	ypes of Expertis	se Neede	d for Rev	iew:	Econor	mists						
Reviewers selected by:	: [X]	Agency				[]	Designa Organiz	ted Outside ation	e			
Opportunities for Publ	ic Comment?			[]	Yes		[X]	No				

How: When:				
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No