ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Aging and Wildfire Risk to Communities						
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EIB						
			[X]	Influential So	cientific I	nformation	ı
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	search Servic	ce []	Highly Influ	ential Scie	entific Ass	essment
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov						
Subject of Review:	Climate change, expanding housing development into wildlands, and legacies of fire suppression and forest management policies have led to dramatic increases in wildfire risks to communities. At the same time, the average age of the population of the United States is increasing as the number of older people grows while the proportion of younger people contracts (population aging). This is especially true in rural areas where aging in place, out-migration of young adults, and in-migration of older people change the population composition. Older people need different kinds of resources and programs to mitigate their risk to wildfire. Despite this growing risk to a particularly vulnerable population, current research does not capture the extent to which (or where) older people live in locations that are at higher risk of wildfire impacting their homes with geographic specificity. This study provides that information, reporting on the growing number of people 60 years and older living in high-risk blocks by state, county, and fireshed (a wildfire management unit developed by the Forest Service).						
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.						
Type of Review:		[] Pa	nel Review		[X]	Individua	al Reviewers
		[] Al	ternative Pr	ocess (Briefly	Explain)	:	
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start:	2/9/20	024		Cor	mpleted:	6/21/2024
Number of Reviewers	: []	3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[]	More tha	n 10
Primary Disciplines/T	ypes of Experti	se Needed fo	or Review:	Economists			
Reviewers selected by	r: [X]	Agency		[]	Designa Organiz	ted Outsid ation	le
Opportunities for Pub. If yes, briefly sta How:		en these opp	[] ortunities w	Yes ill be provided	[X] d:	No	

When:			
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?	[] Yes	[X] No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[] Yes	[X] No	
1 wone 1 to 1 miles 1 to 1 miles 1 mil	[] 100	[14] 110	