## **ERS Peer Review Plan**

Preliminary Title:	Overview of the Farmers to Families Food Box Program				
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EIB				
Agency:	[X] Influential Scientific Information Economic Research Service [] Highly Influential Scientific Assessment USDA				
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov				
Subject of Review:	The economic recession induced by the onset of the pandemic created hardships for many businesses and families. In the agricultural sector, many farmers, ranchers, and dairy producers, and their distributors, saw reduced demand for their products and services as food service entities closed or operated at a reduced capacity. Simultaneously, rising unemployment contributed to an increase in food hardship for millions of families. As a result, many turned to Federal food and nutrition assistance programs and/or charitable food assistance (e.g., food banks and pantries) to meet their food needs. To help support farmers, ranchers, dairy producers, and their distributors, and families, the Federal government passed legislation in late March 2020 authorizing the USDA to create the Farmers to Families Food Box Program (Food Box Program). Through this program, USDA's Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)—the agency that administered the program—contracted with food producers, processors, and distributors (i.e., "contractors") to package domestically produced agricultural commodities and deliver them to non-profit organizations (e.g., food banks and food pantries) for distribution to families in need. The latter may have been particularly important during the pandemic as evidence suggests that private, charitable food assistance may help to fill gaps in Federal food and nutrition assistance programs. This report presents an overview of the Food Box Program and examines the distribution of food boxes across counties in the United States and whether counties with greater need were more likely to received food boxes through the program.				
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.				
Type of Review:	[ ] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers				
[ ] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):					
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start: 2/1/2024 Completed: 8/19/2024				
Number of Reviewer	s: [] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer				

Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise	Economists				
Reviewers selected by: [X]	Agency	[]	Designa Organiz	ated Outside zation	
Opportunities for Public Comment? [ ] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How:					
When:					
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public C	Comments? []	Yes	[X]	No	
Public Nominations Requested for Rev	view Panel? []	Yes	[X]	No	