## **Peer Review Plan**

Preliminary Title:	Did Innovation Intensive Industries and Regions Recover Faster from the Great Recession?
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	ERR
Agency:	[X]Influential Scientific InformationEconomic Research Service[]Highly Influential Scientific AssessmentUSDA[]Influential Scientific Assessment
Agency Contact:	Cindy Nickerson, cnickerson@ers.usda.gov
Subject of Review:	Manufacturing is important to the rural economy, providing relatively well-paying jobs at twice the rate of production agriculture. In this study, rural manufacturing plant survival during a fifteen year period, which includes two recessions and general manufacturing sector decline, is examined. An in-depth survey, the 1996 ERS Rural Manufacturing Survey, is linked to longitudinal employment records, facilitating examination of the relationship between survival and plant- and community-level factors. Results may be of interest to those advising policy-makers about possible tradeoffs between incentives to recruit/retain/expand branch plants and providing support for entrepreneurial, or smaller, independent, locally-owned plants.
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.
Type of Review:	[ ] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers
	[ ] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):
Timing of Review (E	St.): Start: 12/23/16 End: 3/23/17 Completed: 3/23/17
Number of Reviewer	s: [] 3 or [x] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists	
Reviewers selected b	y: [X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Organization
Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When:	
Peer Reviewers Prov	ided with Public Comments?[]Yes[X]NoRequested for Review Panel?[]Yes[X]No