Peer Review Plan

Prenminary Title:	Populations						
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	ERR						
Agency:	Economic Rese USDA	earch Service	[X] Influential Scientific Information[] Highly Influential Scientific Assessment				
Agency Contact:	Cindy Nickerson, cnickerson@ers.usda.gov						
Subject of Review: Purpose of Review:	This paper examines how price shocks of energy resources including gasoline and heating fuel (natural gas and electricity) affect three indicators of food distress, or food access problems, at a household level. Using the Current Population Survey-Food Security Supplement combined with energy price data from the Energy Information Administration, we find that positive price shocks in gas and natural gas increase the probability of food access problems while negative price shocks of natural gas and electricity decrease the probability these problems. The largest effects occurred with negative heating fuel price shocks in the low income and cold state-residing low-income subgroups. This may indicate these households are already facing obstacles in accessing food and only when negative energy price shocks is this alleviated. It may also indicate that social welfare programs such as LIHEAP and SNAP may want to consider provisions for unexpected energy price changes that could affect food access and expenditure. The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.						
Type of Review:	communication	[] Panel F		··	[X]	Individual Re	viewers
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):							
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start:	10/13/16	End:	3/13/17	Co	omplete:	3/13/17
Number of Reviewers	:: []	3 or fewer	[x]	4 to 10	[]	More than 10	
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists							
Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency		[] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name:					
Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When:							
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No	