ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title: Type of Report	The role of carbon payments in anaerobic digester profitability on U.S. swine farms ERR												
(ERR, EIB, EB)			ı	V1	Influentie	l Cai	antifia I	nformatior					
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	search Ser						entific Ass					
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov												
Subject of Review:	The United Europe and is a strategy to renewable renewable renewable reduce GHC Opportunition GHG red In this report on swine far situations remanure manure manure manure manure manure manure manure (e.g. AD in addit	China. Use to reduce energy natural grancertain on of Al Gemissions art, we querms give elated to nagement locat (ii) Biogg., RNG,	Using a ce green product as (RNO as (RNO as (RNO as County and the lantify the carbo at system of a sy	naero nhous ction, G). C y pric wever ald ind s to pri naiss the fir on pay m cha m, typect the ct the State city)	bic diges as gas (G either in urrent ra es are in r, payment crease the roducers ance of a nancial rayments a paracterist as e of swi e potential e-specifical	sters HG the tes suff nts e nu are are inter are fices:	s (AD) emiss e form of retur ficient to lives imber of increas cultura ins fron nade un Swine GHG receipt increas	to productions and of electrical to investo trigger tock proof ADs. sing given a construnder a valuation arket veriduction a rices and	lee biog lecontribe city or estment large ducers to markets acting A riety of ry, curr sus and biog biogas	e biogas ontribute ty or ment arge accers to interest arkets. ing ADs ety of , current s d biogas iogas of an			
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.												
Type of Review:		[]	Panel Re	eview			[X]	Individua	ıl Review	vers			
		[]	Alternati	ive Pro	ocess (Brie	fly I	Explain):	:					
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start:	3/22	/2024				Cor	npleted:	2/21/20	025			
Number of Reviewers	: [X]	3 or fewer		[]	4 to 10		[]	More tha	n 10				
Primary Disciplines/T	ypes of Expertis	se Needed	l for Rev	iew:	Economi	sts							
Reviewers selected by	: [X]	Agency			[Designa Organiz	ited Outsid ation	e				
Opportunities for Publ	ic Comment?			[]	Yes		[X]	No					

How: When:				
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No